

Clinical Evaluation of the E. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEKTM A Rapid Cassette Immunoassay for the Specific Detection of E. histolytica in Human Fecal Specimens

#1132

Introduction

- Entamoeba histolytica is a protozoan parasite responsible for 100 million cases of amebiasis annually, causing diarrhea, dysentery, and colitis.
- Amebiasis has also been diagnosed in 12% of travelers returning from the developing world with acute diarrhea. (1)

Methods

- The E. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEK[™] test (EHQC, TechLab, Inc) and ProSpecT[™] Entamoeba histolytica microwell ELISA (ProSpecT, Remel) were both compared to the E. HISTOLYTICA II ELISA (EHII, TechLab, Inc) utilizing 200 human fecal samples from Bangladesh (endemic site) and a U.S clinical reference laboratory.
- Any fecal sample that resulted in a discrepant result amongst the three diagnostics listed above were resolved by PCR for E. histolytica, E. dispar, and E. moshkovskii. The E. histolytica real-time PCR assay was performed as described by Haque et al. (2). A 2-step nested PCR was peformed to detect E. dispar according to the protocol described by Haque et al. (3). An in house TaqMan assay was used to detect E. moshkovskii.
- Limit of detection was determined for the EHQC, EHII, and ProSpecT for cultured E. histolytica and E. dispar. Negative fecal samples were spiked with culture and tested on each diagnostic test at varying concentrations.
- All spiked fecal samples were also tested by real-time PCR for *E. histolytica* and *E. dispar.* The *E.* histolytica real-time PCR assay was performed as described by Haque et al. (2). The E. dispar realtime PCR was performed as described by Visser et al. (4).

Conclusion

- Results indicate that the rapid format, *E. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEK*[™] test, closely correlates with the E. HISTOLYTICA II ELISA with an overall percent agreement of 98%.
- The ProSpecT[™] Entamoeba histolytica microwell ELISA when compared to the E. HISTOLYTICA II ELISA had an overall percent agreement of 90.5%, with many of the discrepant samples confirmed to be *E. dispar* positive by PCR.
- The E. HISTOLYTICA II ELISA and ProSpecT[™] Entamoeba histolytica microwell ELISA had an equivalent limit of detection for *E. histolytica*. However, the *E. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEK*[™] test had a 4-fold lower limit of detection for *E. histolytica* indicating a more sensitive test.
- The ProSpecT[™] Entamoeba histolytica microwell ELISA was the only test that demonstrated detection of *E. dispar*, which may lead to a false positive diagnosis of amebiasis. Both the *E. HISTOLYTICA II* ELISA and the E. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEK[™] test were specific for only pathogenic E. histolytica and do not cross react with E. dispar.
- Rapid diagnostics are critical in accurately and efficiently diagnosing infectious diseases. The *E*. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEK[™] test is the first rapid device to specifically detect *E. histolytica*.

Blake R. Hanbury¹, Hans P. Verkerke², Abdullah Siddique³, Kristen Schwab¹, Li Chen¹, Rashidul Haque³, William A. Petri, Jr.², Joel F. Herbein¹ ¹TECHLAB[®], Inc., Blacksburg, VA, United States, ²University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, United States, ³ICDDR, Dhaka, Bangladesh

E. HISTOLYTICA N = 200E. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEK ™ Sensitivity Specificity Correlation

E. HISTOLYTICA N = 200**ProSpecT**TM Entamoeba histolytica Sensitivity Specificity

Correlation

Limit of Detection						
Cultured <i>E. histolytica</i> Trophozoites (EH)	EHII	ProSpecT	EHQC	EH PCR C(t)Value		
800 EH/mL of fecal	+	+	+	+ (34)		
400 EH/mL of fecal	+	+	+	+ (35)		
200 EH/mL of fecal			+	+ (38)		
100 EH /mL of fecal			+	+ (39)		
50 EH/mL of fecal				+ (39)		
Cultured <i>E. dispar</i> Trophozoites (ED)	EHII	ProSpecT	EHQC	ED PCR C(t)Value		
50,000 ED/mL of fecal	<u>1</u>	+		+ (22)		
25,000 ED/mL of fecal		+		+ (23)		
12,500 ED/mL of fecal		+		+ (24)		
6250 ED/mL of fecal	-	+		+ (25)		
3125 ED/mL of fecal				+ (28)		

Blake Hanbury

email: bhanbury@techlab.com

Results

				E. HISTOLYTICA II & PCR				
	+	-			N=200	+		
	35	1		E. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEK™	- +	36	(
「「「「」」	3	161			-	2	16	
92.1%			Sensitivity		94.7%			
99.4%			Specifici	100%				
98.0%				Correlati	99.0%			
G								

			E. HISTOLYTICA II & PCR				
+	- -		ProSpecT ™	N=200	+		
36	17		Entamoeba	+	38	1	
2	145		histolytica	-	1	14	
94.7%			Sensitivity		97.4%		
89.5%			Specific	90.7%			
90.5%			Correlation		92.0%		
	+ 36 2 94 89	+ - 36 17 2 145 94.7% 89.5%	+ - 36 17 2 145 94.7% 89.5%	+- <i>E. HISTOL</i> +- <i>ProSpecT</i> ™3617 <i>Entamoeba</i> 2145 <i>histolytica</i> 94.7%Sensitiv89.5%Specific90.5%Correlati	+-E. HISTOLYTICA II &+-ProSpecT™N=2003617Entamoeba+2145histolytica-94.7%SensitivitySpecificity89.5%SpecificityCorrelation	$+$ $ E. HISTOLVTICA II & PCR$ 36 17 $ProSpecT^{M}$ $N=200$ $+$ 36 17 $Entamoeba$ $+$ 38 2 145 $histolytica$ $ 1$ 94.7% $Sensitivty$ $97.$ 89.5% $Specificity$ $90.$	



- 1. Freedman, et al. 2006. N. Engl. J. Med. 354:2

© 2014 TECHLAB[®], Inc. All rights reserved

All trademarks referenced are those of their respective owners





A total of 200 fecal samples were tested on the E. HISTOLYTICA QUIK CHEK[™] test (EHQC) and E. HISTOLYTICA II ELISA (EHII) that resulted in 4 discrepant results.

The one sample that was negative by the EHII and positive by the EHQC was determined to be positive for *E. histolytica* by PCR. Of the 3 samples that were positive by the EHII and negative by the EHQC, 2 were confirmed positive for *E.histolytica* by PCR.



A total of 200 fecal samples were tested on the ProSpecT[™] Entamoeba histolytica microwell ELISA (ProSpecT) and E. HISTOLYTICA II ELISA (EHII) that resulted in 19 discrepant results.

Of the 17 samples that were negative by the EHII and positive by *ProSpecT*, 13 were confirmed *E*. dispar positive by PCR and 2 E. histolytica positive by PCR. Of the two samples that were positive by the EHII and negative by ProSpecT, 1 was confirmed *E. histolytica* positive by PCR.

References

2. Haque, et al. 2007. AM. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 76:713-717

3. Haque, et al. 1998. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:449-452

4. Visser, et al. 2006. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 296: 397-403

Scan for PDF

